



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

112

CWP-24720-2022
Date of Decision: 19.05.2026

Balraj Singh and another
Versus
State Bank of India and others

....Petitioners
....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: Mr. Lupil Gupta, Advocate; Ms. Seema Sharma, Advocate
Mr. Mittardeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Rahul Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Sumit Narang, Advocate for respondents No.1 and 2.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Upon instructions, counsel for respondents No.1 and 2 – Bank states that the account has been regularized and, thus, the instant writ petition has become infructuous.
2. Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.
3. Liberty is, however, granted to the petitioners to seek revival of the writ petition in case, it transpires that statement made by respondents No.1 and 2 – Bank, turns out to be factually incorrect.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

May 19, 2026
Varinder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No