



CRA-S-2386-2025

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

101

CRA-S-2386-2025
Decided on: 30.04.2026

VIJAY AND ANOTHER

.....Appellants

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Deepak Kundu, Advocate,
for the appellants.

Mr. Amish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Divya Menon, Advocate, for
Mr. Aditya Sharda, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Present appeal has been filed by the appellants, under section 14-A of the SC/ST Act, 1989, after being aggrieved by the impugned order dated 21.07.2025, passed by the Court of learned ASJ, Sonapat, whereby anticipatory bail of the appellants was dismissed, in case FIR No.0099 dated 21.03.2025, under section 3 of the SC/ST Act and sections 115, 117(2), 140(3), 190, 191(3), 351(3) of BNS, registered at Police Station Gannaur, District Sonapat.

2. On 17.10.2025, following order was passed:-

“On request, adjourned to 19.12.2025.

In the meantime, the appellants are directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation and in the event of their arrest, they shall be released on bail on their furnishing bail bonds each to the satisfaction of SHO/Investigating Agency,



subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS:-

(i) that the appellants shall make themselves available for interrogation before the investigating officer as and when required;

(ii) that the appellants shall not, directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) that the appellants shall not leave the country without prior permission of the Court and shall surrender his passport, if any.

Meanwhile, the State is directed to file an affidavit/reply as to the exact role of the appellants and their co-accused alongwith the details of pending FIRs, if any, on or before the next date of hearing.”

3. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the appellants contends that in compliance of the order dated 17.10.2025, passed by this Court, appellants have joined the investigation, and fully co-operated. Therefore, he prays for confirmation of the said interim anticipatory bail order.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Satbir Singh, confirms the said averment made by counsel for the appellants of joining the investigation on 22.11.2025 by the appellants, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the appellants is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since, appellants have already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 17.10.2025, passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present appeal is allowed.



However, appellants shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. Accordingly, appeal stands disposed of.

However, present order would be subject to the submission of passport of the appellants to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if they possess, within a period of one week from today. Otherwise, they would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that they do not possess any passport.

It is clarified that in case, aforesaid condition is not complied with, this order would be considered as *non est* automatically.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

30.04.2026
Lavisha

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO