



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-42064-2025

DECIDED ON: 11.03.2026

JAGIR @ JANGIR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....RESPONDENT

CRM-M-57821-2025

ANIRUDH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....RESPONDENT

CRM-M-60618-2025

SONU

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....RESPONDENT

CRM-M-60111-2025

SANDEEP KUMAR ALIAS SONU MAHI

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....RESPONDENT

CRM-M-49655-2025

SUNIL KUMAR PANDIT

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....RESPONDENT

**CRM-M-1130-2026****SHEKHAR****.....PETITIONER****VERSUS****STATE OF HARYANA****.....RESPONDENT****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH.**

Present: Mr. Rajdeep Singh Gill, Advocate (in CRM-M-42064-2025)
Mr. Narender Kaajla, Advocate and
Mr. Shubham Poonia, Advocate (in CRM-M-57821-2025)
Mr. Amit Choudhary, Advocate (in CRM-M-60618-2025)
Mr. Vikas Bishnoi, Advocate (in CRM-M-60111-2025)
Mr. S.N. Pillania, Advocate (in CRM-M-49655-2025)
Mr. Harshit Jangra, Advocate (in CRM-M-1130-2026).
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana assisted by
SI Baljeet Singh and SI Ashok Kumar.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J (ORAL)

1. A comprehensive status report dated 09.03.2026 has been filed in the present case i.e. CRM-M-1130-2026 titled as Shekhar Vs. State of Haryana. The instant bail petitions (in CRM-M-42064-2025, CRM-M-49655-2025) has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR 465 dated 23.08.2024 under Sections 20(b)(ii)C/29/61/85 of NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Sadar District Bhiwani and in petitions CRM-M-57821-2025, CRM-M-60618-2025, CRM-M-60111-2025, CRM-M-1130-2026 has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR 465 dated 23.08.2024 under Sections 20(b)(ii)C/61/85 of NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Sadar District Bhiwani.

2. Faced with the situation in the trial the prosecution evidence has already been recorded completely and the proceedings have been fixed for 16.03.2026 for defence evidence and arguments.



3. In view of the aforesaid, respective learned counsels in all the
aforementioned cases seeks permission to withdraw the bail petitions.
4. At this stage, the petitions are dismissed as withdrawn.
5. The trial Court is directed to decide the trial expeditiously in
accordance with law.
6. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of another connected
case.

11.03.2026
mahima

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>