

2026:PHHC:007175



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

201

CRM-M-42101-2025

Date of decision: January 20, 2026

BALWINDER SINGH AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA**

Present: Mr. R.S. Cheema, Senior Advocate with  
Mr. A.S. Cheema, Advocate,  
Mr. Siddharth Bhukkal, Advocate and  
Mr. Satish Sharma, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Amit Sahni, Additional Advocate General, Haryana with  
Mr. Harmanjit Singh Johal, Advocate.

**TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)**

Learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioners fairly submits that in the light of orders passed by this Court, dated 11.12.2025, in CRM-M-52045-2025, CRM-M-60526-2025 and CRM-M-65195-2025, declining regular bails to the co-accused who are similarly placed as the petitioners, they would not be entitled to the grant of pre-arrest bail.

2. Learned State counsel points out that pre-arrest bail petition filed by a co-accused with similar allegations has also been dismissed by this Court vide order, dated 11.12.2025, passed in CRM-M-54572-2025 titled *Ranjit Singh v. State of Haryana*, against which SLP has also been dismissed by the Supreme Court vide order dated 16.01.2026.

3. In the light of these facts, the petitioners cannot be admitted to pre-arrest bail.
4. Dismissed.

**January 20, 2026**  
*Jaspreet Kaur*

**(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)**  
**JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned* : *Yes*

*Whether reportable* : *Yes/No*