



CRM-M-41626-2025

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

116

CRM-M-41626-2025

Decided on:25.03.2026

Vinod Sharma

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Bhupinder Ghai, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023, is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

| Name of Petitioner (s)            | FIR No. | Date       | Section(s)                                                                                  | Police Station                            |
|-----------------------------------|---------|------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| Vinod Sharma, aged about 38 years | 116     | 05.06.2025 | 191(2), 190, 115 and 118(2) of BNS, (corresponding Sections 147, 149, 321, 323 and 326 IPC) | Faridabad NIT, District Faridabad Haryana |

2. After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner on 02.08.2025 following was recorded:

“1.xxx

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, *inter alia*, contends that petitioner has suffered total three injuries. In this regard, specific reference is made to the injuries sustained by the petitioner on the facial area.

For reference, same is reproduced here below:-



*“A/H/O:- Trauma to L side of face on 3-6-2.5 around 10:30 pm near Bhagat Singh Colony, F.B. (HR) during interpersonal violence by 5-6 people c brick Hb acute onset pain, bleeding, DOV (LE). H/o 1 cpi of vomiting & ENT bleed, no H/o LOC, seizures. Pt. Visited DPNATC & has been evaluated and systemically Hx.*

*O/E:- Lid f Adnexa (BE)-WNL”*

3. *In the medical report, complete nasal bone is reported to be fractured and same is noticed as under:-*

**“IMPRESSION:-**

*Fracture of all nasal bone noted with associated soft tissue oedema. Orthopaedic implant noted in mandible.”*

4. *Counsel for the petitioner further submits that, apart from the petitioner, his wife Mohini sustained four injuries, his cousin brother suffered two injuries, and Manoj Sharma also received two injuries during the incident. It is contended that it was, in fact, the complainant party who launched an attack on the petitioner and his family members. However, in the FIR lodged by the complainant party, a false version of the events has been presented, deliberately concealing the fact that, in the same incident which occurred on 03.06.2025 at around 10:30 P.M., injuries were also inflicted upon the petitioner and his family members.*

5. *Additionally, counsel for the petitioner draws attention to a complaint dated 04.06.2025, submitted by the petitioner’s wife to the SHO, Police Station NIT Faridabad. Pursuant to this complaint, FIR No.128 dated 19.06.2025 was registered under Sections 115, 117(2), 351(2), 79, and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 at Police Station NIT Faridabad, District Faridabad.*

6. *Counsel for the petitioner further submits that once, it is established that the complainant-party was the aggressor and caused grievous injuries to the petitioner and his family members, petitioner cannot be held solely responsible for the entire incident. It is alleged that complainant – Kamal Gulati suffered an injury on his right eye during the incident, resulting in permanent and irreversible damage to his vision. However, considering that petitioner himself has to undergo surgery, his custodial interrogation would*



*not serve any meaningful purpose for the prosecution. Furthermore, petitioner is willing to cooperate with the investigation and is ready to join the same, if he is granted protection from arrest by this Court. Thus, prays for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the present case.*

7. *Notice of motion.*

8. *On advance notice, Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana, puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent – State, and seeks some time to file status report.*

9. *List again on 18.09.2025.*

10. *Learned State Counsel is directed to file a detailed status report, indicating total number of injuries sustained by all parties, nature and extent of those injuries, as well as clarifying the exact place of occurrence. The report would also reflect, on a prima facie basis, which party appears to be aggressor in the incident.*

11. *In the meanwhile, arrest of the petitioner shall remain stayed. However, issue of joining of investigation by the petitioner shall be examined on the next date of hearing, after reviewing the status report which is yet to be filed by learned State counsel.”*

3. Noticing the contentions recorded in the order dated 02.08.2025 (supra) and status report, and considering that the issue as to which party is the aggressor will be determined at the final stage, this Court is of the view that the petitioner need not be subjected to custodial interrogation at this stage.

Accordingly, present petition is allowed, and petitioner is directed to join the investigation within two weeks from today or as and when called by the Investigating Officer concerned. In the event of his arrest, petitioner shall be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. Petitioner shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.



CRM-M-41626-2025

4

However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court.

4. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)  
JUDGE

March 25, 2026  
rashmi

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/~~NO~~  
Whether Reportable: ~~YES~~/NO