



145 (2 cases)

THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

1. CRM-M-43432-2025

Sandeep Kumar @ Chunu Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana Respondent

2. CRM-M-48817-2025

Dinesh KumarPetitioner

Versus

State of HaryanaRespondent

Date of Decision: 27.03.2026

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, Advocate (in CRM-M-43432-2025)
Mr. Sumit Sangwan, Advocate (in CRM-M-48817-2025)
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Diya Sodhi, Senior DAG, Haryana.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J.

1. By way of this common order, this Court intend to decide abovesaid two petitions as they have arisen out of the same FIR.

2. Petitioners have approached this Court by way of present petitions praying for granting them regular bail in case FIR No.14 dated 10.02.2025, under Sections 181, 182, 62, 3(5), 238(B) of BNS, registered at Police Station Behal, District Bhiwani, Haryana.

3. Succinctly, facts of the case are that the FIR was registered on the statement of complainant, namely, Sunny Nomwal, Intelligence



Officer DRI HOW New Delhi. It was alleged that he had received information from a credible source, that one person, namely, Dinesh Kumar (petitioner in CRM-M-48817-2025) having mobile No.9350220728 who is involved in printing of fake Indian currency notes, is coming to Sadulpur junction on 09.02.2025 from Bandra terminal Mumbai via Aravali Express 14702. On receiving the information, complainant along with a team of DRI Officers went to the disclosed place on 09.02.2025 and with the help of Railway Protection Force mounted surveillance at the said location to intercept the person. At around 11:20 pm, Aravali Express arrived at Sadulpur junction and the above mentioned person Dinesh Kumar was identified with the help of the officers as described in secret information. Thereafter, he was intercepted and his identity was established. During enquiry, he revealed that he was planning to manufacture fake Indian currency notes for which he had acquired cotton paper with RBI-Bharat strip/Thread, a printer and a laptop. The said person also revealed that the said cotton paper with thread/strip of RBI-Bharat was available at his residence in Sirsi village. It was suspected that mobile phone and the laptop being carried by Dinesh can contain some evidence, the mobile phone and the laptop was seized by the officer. Thereafter, search at the residence of Dinesh was conducted with the help of local police officials. During search, 45 papers RBI-Bharat thread/strip, 3 Rs.500 note printed on a A4 Paper, one half/incomplete Rs.500 note and one HP printer were recovered. Hence, it appears that the said person Dinesh was attempting to print fake Rs.500 Indian currency notes thereby causing threat to national and economic



security of the nation. Request was made to take legal action against aforesaid Dinesh. Thus, the FIR was registered and investigation commenced. During investigation, the complicity of petitioner-Sandeep Kumar @ Chunu (petitioner in CRM-M-43432-2025) surfaced on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused and initially he was interrogated on 07.02.2025 and finally arrested on 12.02.2025. Petitioners approached the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Bhiwani praying for grant of regular bail. However, after hearing both the sides, the learned Court finding no merit in the same, dismissed the bail applications filed by the petitioners vide orders dated 15.07.2025 and 08.08.2025, respectively. Hence, being aggrieved, petitioners are before this Court by way of filing the present petitions praying for grant of regular bail.

4. It has been vehemently contended by counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners have been falsely and frivolously implicated in this case. It has been submitted that petitioner-Sandeep Kumar @ Chunu was not named in the FIR and he was arrayed in the present case only on the basis of disclosure statement of Dinesh Kumar, which is not even an admissible evidence. It has been submitted that neither any fake currency nor any high-security paper bearing the Watermark of RBI has been recovered from Sandeep Kumar @ Chunu (petitioner in CRM-M-43432-2025). It has been submitted that the petitioners have no criminal antecedents and thus, in the overall facts and circumstances of the present cases, petitioners deserve to be granted bail.



5. *Per contra*, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner. She has submitted that the allegations against the petitioners are serious in nature. She has submitted that the present petitioners were the member of the syndicate that manufactured fake currency. She has submitted that during investigation, it was found that initially co-accused Mohit ordered and obtained currency papers through a mobile app and he sold out few papers to the petitioners. After receiving the same, petitioner-Sandeep Kumar @ Chunu posted it on the status of their ID at Instagram. Upon seeing the same, petitioners were contacted by other co-accused, following which the petitioners allegedly sold 50 currency papers and received the consideration amount in the bank account of their friend, Aditya. She has submitted that there are total 5 accused, out of which, 4 accused are in custody. She, on instructions, has submitted that case is under investigation and charges have not yet been framed. She thus, has submitted that the act of the petitioners pose a threat to the national and economic security of the nation, therefore, they do not deserve the concession of regular bail. She has placed on record the custody certificates of the petitioners.

6. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is deciphered that petitioner-Dinesh Kumar was named in the secret information, however, name of petitioner-Sandeep Kumar surfaced on the basis of disclosure statement of Dinesh Kumar. Petitioners were stated to be the members of the syndicate that manufactured fake currency and they further sold 50 currency papers to

co-accused. Petitioners by their act and conduct caused threat to national and economic security of the Nation. As submitted, charges are yet to be framed. Custody certificates filed by the State show that petitioners have undergone incarceration of more than 01 year and 01 month as on 26.03.2026. It further reflect that both the petitioners are involved in one more case.

7. Considering the seriousness and gravity of the allegations, the nature of evidence on record, and the stage of investigation, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners as there is an apprehension that if released, petitioners may influence witnesses or tamper with the evidence. Accordingly, the present petitions are dismissed. Nothing said herein shall be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

27.03.2026
m.sharma

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No