



CRM-M-42087-2025

-1-

(136)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-42087-2025

Date of Decision: 11.03.2026

MADAN LAL

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CRM-M-42211-2025

DHARAMPAL

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. S.S. Sahu, Advocate
for the petitioners in both the petitions.

Mr. Vipul Sherwal, Asstt. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. L.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the complainant.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.

This order shall dispose of two petitions bearing No.CRM-M-42087-2025 titled as Madan Lal versus State of Haryana and CRM-M-42211-2025 titled as Dharampal Versus State of Haryana as the same are arising out of the same FIR. However, for the sake of convenience the facts have been taken from CRM-M-42087-2025.

**CRM-M-42087-2025****-2-**

2. The prayer in the present petitions under Section 483 BNSS, 2023 is for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No.199 dated 27.05.2025 (Annexure P-1) registered under Section 318(4), 316(2), 319(2), 351(3), 61(2), 338, 336(3), 340(2) of BNS at Police Station Sadar, Fatehabad, District Fatehabad.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners were granted the concession of interim bail as there was a possibility of a compromise being arrived at. However, as no compromise was arrived at they were directed to surrender on 10.03.2026 vide order dated 24.02.2026. However, pursuant to 24.02.2026, a compromise has been arrived at between the parties and therefore, the petitioners be granted the concession of regular bail.

4. On the other hand, the learned State counsel along with the learned counsel for the complainant on instructions from the complainant namely, Bhagwan Dass submit that though initially a compromise was not effected, subsequently, a compromise has been arrived at and therefore, the complainant has no objection if the petitioners are granted the concession of regular bail.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

6. On 22.09.2025 the following order was passed:-

“On oral request of learned counsel for the petitioner(s), complainant namely Bhagwan Dass is hereby impleaded party as respondent No.2 in the present petition. The petitioner(s) are directed to file amended memo of parties.



CRM-M-42087-2025

-3-

It has been stated by learned counsel for the petitioner(s) as well as learned State counsel that there are chances of settlement of dispute between the parties, and that the matter be referred to Mediation & Conciliation Centre of this Court.

In view of above mentioned submissions, the present case is hereby referred to Mediation & Conciliation Centre of this Court.

The parties are directed to appear before the Mediation & Conciliation Centre of this Court on 30.10.2025.

Intimation in this regard be sent to the complainant through Investigating Officer.

Adjourned to 26.11.2025 for awaiting of report.

It is hereby directed that till next date the petitioner(s) shall be released on interim bail, to facilitate his appearance before the Mediation & Conciliation Centre of this Court, on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.”

7. The matter remained pending and ultimately on 24.02.2026, the following order was passed:-

“Custody Certificates have been filed by learned State Counsel. The same be taken on record.

A perusal of record shows that in the present case the benefit of interim bail was accorded to the petitioner in the backdrop of the fact that there were chances of compromise between the parties. The case was referred to the Mediation & Conciliation Centre also. Mediation has not been successful.

Since this is a petition for regular bail and that ground for interim bail does not survive any more, the petitioner is directed to surrender before the jail authorities by 05:00 P.M. on 10.03.2026.

List on 11.03.2026.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.”

**CRM-M-42087-2025****-4-**

8. Though, the petitioners were directed to surrender before the jail authorities on 10.03.2026, now, a compromise has indeed been arrived at. This fact has been duly admittedly by the learned counsel for the complainant on instructions from the complainant.

9. Thus without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petitions are allowed and the petitioners are ordered to be released on regular bail subject to their furnishing fresh bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned CJM/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

10. The petitions stand disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

11.03.2026

JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No