



RSA-750-2014 (O&M)
RSA-1693-2015 (O&M)

1

Sr. No.257
(02) (03)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: 24th February 2026

(I) RSA-750-2014 (O&M)

BALWINDER SINGH

.....Appellant

versus

NIRMAL SINGH AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

(II) RSA-1693-2015 (O&M)

SHAMSHER SINGH AND ANOTHER

.....Appellants

versus

NIRMAL SINGH AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN

Present: Mr. Onkar Singh, Advocate
for the appellant (in RSA-750-2014).

Mr. Arishdeep Mraad, Advocate for
Mr. Varun Jain, Advocate
for the appellants (in RSA-1693-2015).

Mr. Varun Garg, Advocate
for respondent No.1 (through video conferencing).

HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in both the instant Regular Second Appeals is for setting aside the judgment and decree dated 05.10.2009, passed by the Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Malerkotla, whereby, the suit of the respondents was decreed, and for setting aside the judgment and decree dated 19.08.2013, passed by the Additional District Judge, Sangrur.

2. Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the recovery was sought on account of some dispute in the personal relations of the parties.



RSA-750-2014 (O&M)
RSA-1693-2015 (O&M)

3. Learned counsel for the appellant-Balwinder Singh submits that the decretal amount has been deposited in the Executing Court. The decree holder did not press the execution petitions, as such, the execution petition filed by the decree holder has been dismissed in default. The appellant has no objection if the decretal amount deposited in the Executing Court is released to the decree holder. So at this stage, he wishes to withdraw the present appeals. However, the appellant may be given liberty to file an application for revival of the present appeals, in case the decree holder files a subsequent execution petition seeking the recovery of interest part.

4. Learned counsel for respondent No.1-Nirmal Singh submits that he has no objection if the present Regular Second Appeals are disposed of in terms of the statement given by the learned counsel for the appellant.

5. In view of the above, both the present Regular Second Appeals are disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, in terms of the statement of parties.

6. However, liberty is given to the appellants to file an application for revival of the present appeals in case the decree holder files a subsequent execution petition before the Executing Court.

7. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

8. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

24th February 2026
simran

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No