265

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

ARB-460-2025(O&M)
Date of Decision: 16.01.2026

M/S INNOVISION LIMITED THROUGH ITS AUTHORIZED SIGNATORY COL GURPAL SINGH RETD GENERAL MANAGER

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

M/S SHADOWFAX TECHNOLOGIES PRIVATE LIMITED

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Angel Walia, Advocate,
for the petitioner.
(Through Video Conferencing).

Mr. Raghunath Chauhan, Advocate for
Mr. Zorawar Singh Chauhan, Advocate,
for the respondent.

****

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. Both the learned counsel for the parties have stated that the mediation has failed.

2. The present petition has been filed under Section 11(6, 7, 8) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (hereinafter referred to as 'the Act') praying for appointment of an independent Arbitrator to adjudicate the disputes and differences which have arisen between the parties pertaining to an agreement entered into between the parties.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner through video conferencing submitted that there was an agreement (Annexure P-2)

RAKESH KUMAR
2026.01.20 16:10
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this
order/judgment

between the parties wherein there exists a valid arbitration clause i.e. Clause 21. He submitted that a dispute arose between the parties with regard to the aforesaid agreement and thereafter, a notice was issued under Section 21 of the Act to the respondent vide Annexure P-5 dated 28.01.2024 invoking the aforesaid clause but the same was not responded to by the respondent and therefore, the present application has been filed seeking appointment of a Sole Arbitrator.

4. Mr. Raghunath Chauhan, Advocate has appeared for Mr. Zorawar Singh Chauhan, Advocate for the respondent and submitted that there is no dispute with regard to the aforesaid arbitration clause in the agreement and also there is no dispute with regard to the invoking of the arbitration clause by serving notice under Section 21 of the Act. He also submitted that he has specific instructions to state that the respondent has no objection in case a Sole Arbitrator is appointed by this Court for adjudication of the dispute.

5. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the present petition is allowed. Mr. Jasleen Singh Jaidka, Advocate, resident of H.No. 612, Sector 18-B, Chandigarh, Mobile Nos. 9872461218 and 9464161218, is nominated as the Sole Arbitrator to adjudicate the dispute between the parties, subject to compliance of statutory provisions including Section 12 of the Act.

6. Parties are directed to appear before the learned Arbitrator on date, time and place to be fixed and communicated by the learned Arbitrator at his convenience.

7. Fee shall be paid to the learned Arbitrator in accordance with the Fourth Schedule of the Arbitration Act, as amended.

RAKESH KUMAR
2026.01.20 16:10
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this
order/judgment

8. Learned Arbitrator is also requested to complete the proceedings as per the time limit prescribed under Section 29-A of the Act.

9. A request letter alongwith a copy of the order be sent to Mr. Jasleen Singh Jaidka, Advocate.

16.01.2026 **(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)**

rakesh **JUDGE**

Whether speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No

RAKESH KUMAR
2026.01.20 16:10
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this
order/judgment