



CRM-M-41413-2025(O&M)

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

131-2

CRM-M-41413-2025(O&M)

Decided on: 03.02.2026**Rashmeet Kaur****.....Petitioner****Versus****State of Haryana and another****.....Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH****Present:** Mr. Darshan Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Gaurav Ghopra, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Alankar Narula, Advocate
Mr. Navdeep Singh, Advocate
Ms. Gauri C. Kaushal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.(Oral)

1. Petitioner Rashmeet Kaur has filed the instant petition seeking setting aside of the anticipatory bail granted by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sirsa, in FIR No. 117 dated 08.03.2025, registered at Police Station Rania, District Sirsa, under Sections 498A, 406, 323, and 34 of the IPC. The petition challenges the grant of anticipatory bail to Private Respondent No. 2, which was accorded vide order dated 12.05.2025 (Annexure P-5).

2. The impugned order has been perused by this Court, and it emerges therefrom that the prime objection raised by the petitioner before



the Court below was that Respondent No. 2 had not been cooperating with the investigation even after the grant of interim bail. It was contended that the dowry articles, in the form of 25 tolas of gold, were yet to be recovered and that the same had never been handed over by Respondent No. 2 either to the Investigating Officer or to the petitioner.

3. The Court below has dealt with this issue in detail and observed that no documentary evidence whatsoever was produced by the petitioner in support of the alleged dowry articles in the form of 25 tolas of gold. Apart from this, this Court is also of the view that anticipatory bail proceedings or criminal bail proceedings are not meant to effect recovery of articles. For such recovery, it is incumbent upon the party alleging entrustment of articles to place some cogent evidence on record.

4. Moreover, the grant of anticipatory bail or regular bail is entirely based on the judicial satisfaction of the concerned Court, and for that very reason, such orders are generally not amenable to any appellate scrutiny. However, there is no doubt that in the event of violation of the terms and conditions on which discretionary relief has been granted to an accused, remedy for cancellation of bail is specifically provided under the Cr.P.C. and now also under the BNSS.

5. Thus, this Court does not find any substance either in the submissions or in the pleadings made in the present petition seeking setting aside of the anticipatory bail granted in favour of Respondent No.

2. Consequently, **present petition is dismissed.**



CRM-M-41413-2025(O&M)

3

6. Before parting with the order, it is also worth noticing that the instant petition has been filed under Section 489(3) read with Section 528(3) of the BNSS, under which such a plea is not maintainable.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

03.02.2026
Rashmi

Whether Speaking/Reasoned:	YES/ NO
Whether Reportable:	YES /NO