



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

223

CRWP-8315-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 04.05.2026

Surjit Singh

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Lalit Singla and Ms. Varsha Sharma, Advocates
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Sr.DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Gursharan Singh, Advocate for respondents No.10 & 11.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed for seeking protection of life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondent No.5 who is unnecessarily harassing the petitioner at the instance of respondents No.10 & 11 by calling him to associate in multiple inquiries.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that private respondent No.11 has already given a statement that he does not wish to pursue the complaint under challenge subject to the final outcome of the present petition.

3. Learned State Counsel, on the other hand, contends that multiple inquiries shall not be undertaken and that as per the established procedure, only the Bureau of Investigation can undertake subsequent inquiries. He however does not dispute that private respondent No.11 has already given a statement not to pursue the complaint under challenge.

4. In view of the statement made by the State Counsel that the subsequent inquiries would not be conducted under ordinary circumstances

and that such an authorization is with the Bureau of Investigation, the present petition is disposed of as not pressed at this stage.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

04.05.2026

Sumit Gusain

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)

JUDGE