

2026:PHHC:016052



103

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-41038-2025

Suman

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

Date of Decision: February 04, 2026

Date of Uploading: February 04, 2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Preetinder S. Ahluwalia, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Keerat Dhillon, Advocate and
Mr. Dev Gahlawat, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG Haryana.

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the
Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of
concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, in case FIR No.14 dated
26.05.2025, under Sections 409, 419, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the
IPC, 1860 and Sections 13(2) and 13(1)(c) of the Prevention of Corruption
Act, 1988, registered at Police Station Anti-Corruption Bureau, District
Rohtak.

2. On 31.07.2025, the following order was passed:

“Notice of motion.

*On the asking of the Bench, Mr. Viney Phogat, DAG Haryana
accepts notice on behalf of the petitioner and prays for time to file reply to
the petition.*

List on 06.08.2025.

*Till the next date of hearing, no coercive steps be taken qua the
petitioner.”*

2.1. Thereafter, on 17.09.2025, the following order was passed:

*“The petitioner is directed to join investigation once again within a period of one week.
Adjourned to 30.10.2025.
Interim order to continue.”*

3. Reiterating submissions earlier made, learned Senior counsel submits that the petitioner is a lady aged 45 years with clean antecedents, the main accused, i.e., husband of the petitioner, namely Mainpal stands arrested, two co-accused, namely, Sonu alias Sonu Kumar and Kulwant Singh alias Kulwant have been granted concession of regular bail, by this Court, vide orders dated 28.01.2026 passed in **CRM-M-61124-2025** and **CRM-M-60155-2025**, respectively.

4. *Per contra*, learned State counsel (on instructions Inspector Sanjeev Kumar) has stated that the petitioner has joined investigation, but there are direct/ serious allegations against the petitioner, the recovery of money in question is yet to be effected, and keeping in view the gravity of offence, the petitioner ought not to be extended concession of anticipatory bail.

5. Having heard learned counsel for the rival parties; especially the factum of petitioner being lady and having joined investigation and her custodial interrogation is being sought for only to recover money in question, the interim orders dated 31.07.2025 and 17.09.2025 passed by this Court are made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

6. Petition stands allowed, accordingly.

7. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be

confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

8. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

9. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

10. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

February 04, 2026

mahavir

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No