



CRM-M-53489-2021 (O&M)

1

237

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-53489-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 09.04.2026

Satish and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL

Present : Mr. Pratap Singh Gill, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vijay Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Deepak Jaglan, Advocate
for the complainant.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for setting aside order dated 21.10.2021 (Annexure P-8) passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge and order dated 16.03.2020 (Annexure P-3) passed by learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate.

2. The case of the prosecution is that all the petitioners with common intention have caused injuries to the complainant- Raman Kamboj. It is alleged that the complainant suffered seven injuries; with respect to injury No.5, ENT opinion was advised. PW-3 Dr. Amrit Singh, Medical Officer, PHC Gheer opined regarding the injury No.5 suffered by the complainant- Raman. After going through the CT Scan, in which, he found the fracture of PNS. Hence, cerebral edema, hemorrhage contusion and subdural bleed. After going through the medical record, he was of the



opinion that if the complainant was not treated immediately, he would have died and the injury is dangerous to life.

3. Upon perusal of the NCCT Brain and NCCT PNS reports, a fracture was seen in anterior and posterior wall of left maxillary sinus with blood forming an air fluid level, along with a hemorrhagic contusion in left femoral lobe showing minimal edema adjoining minimal subdural bleed. It is argued that the aforesaid injury does not fall within the ambit of Section 307 of IPC and it is an offence only under Section 326 of IPC.

4. This aspect whether the injury is dangerous to life or is grievous in nature and whether the offence under Sections 326 or 307 of IPC is made out or not is a matter of evidence to be decided by the learned trial Court after leading evidence by the parties.

5. In view of the above, this Court cannot comment on the said aspect at this stage, therefore, no ground is made out to interfere with the impugned orders passed by the learned trial Court. Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed.

5. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

09.04.2026

Himani

(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No