



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RSA-1817-2013 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision: 07.05.2026**

Raghubir Singh

.....Appellant

Vs.

Haryana State and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA**

Present : Mr. Sandeep Bansal, Advocate, and  
Ms. Shaveta, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana, for the respondents.

\*\*\*\*

**SUDEEPTI SHARMA J. (ORAL)**

1. The present regular second appeal is preferred against the judgment and decree dated 13.02.2013 passed by learned District Judge, Hisar, whereby the appeal filed by the respondents-State against the judgment and decree dated 22.02.2012 passed by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Hisar, was allowed.

2. The only controversy involved in the present appeal is regarding entitlement of appellant for TA/DA w.e.f. 10.07.2007 to 13.07.2007. Admittedly, the amount involved in the present appeal is Rs.5,640/-. As per Section 102 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, no second appeal shall lie from any decree, when the subject matter of the original suit is for recovery of money not exceeding twenty-five thousand rupees.

3. In view of the above, the present appeal is **dismissed** as not maintainable. Parties are left to bear their own costs. Decree sheet be drawn.

4. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

**(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)  
JUDGE**

**07.05.2026**

Virender

VIRENDRA SINGH ADHIKARI  
2026.05.07 19:00  
I attest to the accuracy and  
integrity of this document

Whether speaking/non-speaking : Yes

Whether reportable : Yes/No