



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

237

CRWP-7992-2025.**Date of Decision: 08.04.2026.**

Suresh Kumar

....Petitioner.

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kumar Bokolia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

Petitioner-Suresh Kumar has filed the instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for releasing of detenue 'Anmol Kumar' who is son of the petitioner, from the illegal detention of respondent No.4-Mohammad Samar.

2. On hearing counsel for the petitioner, following was recorded by this Court in the order dated 05.08.2025:-

“ xxx xxx xxx

2. In pursuance to the order dated 23.07.2025, warrant officer was appointed by this Court, and further, in compliance thereto, report dated 28.07.2025 has been submitted before this Court in a sealed cover, which is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions. Office to tag the same at appropriate place in the case file.

3. Said report has been opened by the Court Secretary and same is perused in Court today. Two copies of the said status report have also been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel in Court today.

4. A perusal of the report reveals that the suspects, as pointed out by the petitioner, were raided; however, the detainee Anmol Kumar (son of the petitioner) could not be recovered from their custody, nor was he found to be in their possession. The petitioner now suspects the involvement of someone else and submits that if proper investigation is conducted after registration of an FIR, his son/detainee Anmol Kumar can be recovered.

5. Learned State counsel is directed to take appropriate steps in this regard, and then, inform to this Court on the next date of hearing.

xxx xxx xxx”

3. Counsel for the petitioner submits that since detainee-Anmol Kumar has already been arrested in a criminal case, instant petition be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

4. Ordered accordingly.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

08.04.2026
jitender

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No
Whether Reportable : Yes/ No