

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

2026/PHC/001445



(126)  
CRWP-8006-2025 (O&M)

Dr. Kanu Sharma

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

U.T. Chandigarh and others

.....Respondent(s)

**Decided on : 12.01.2026**  
**Date of uploading: 12.01.2026**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL**

Present: None for the petitioner (s).

Mr. Manish Bansal, Public Prosecutor, U.T. Chandigarh &  
Mr. Dixit Bhardwaj, Advocate for the respondent-U.T. Chandigarh.

Mr. Baljinder Singh Sra, Addl. AG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Sumeet Goel (Oral):**

1. Case has been called twice. Neither the petitioner has appeared nor any counsel has appeared on her behalf.
2. Vide order dated 09.12.2025, process was directed to be issued qua respondent Nos.7 & 8 as well. However, office note indicates that notice(s) could not be issued for want of process fee. It appears that the petitioner has lost interest in pursuing the petition in hand. This Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the petition in hand for want of prosecution.
3. Ordered accordingly.

**January 12, 2026**  
*Naveen*

**(SUMEET GOEL)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether Reportable : Yes/No