



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

218

(1)

LPA-853-2021 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 29.01.2026

Satnam Singh

....Appellant

Versus

Financial Commissioner (Appeals) Punjab and others

....Respondents

(2)

LPA-15-2022 (O&M)

Satnam Singh

....Appellant

Versus

Financial Commissioner (Appeals) Punjab and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. O.P.Kamboj, Advocate
for the appellant(s).

Mr. Rahul Rampal, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Mandeep K. Sajjan, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the appellant(s) submits that, inadvertently, one of the grounds that there was an FIR registered against respondent No.4, which causes ineligibility to be appointed as *Lambardar*, could not be raised before the learned Single Judge. Hence, the present appeal(s) may kindly be disposed of having not been pressed any further with liberty to file review as permissible under law.



***LPA-853-2021 (O&M) and
LPA-15-2022 (O&M)***

-2-

2. Ordered accordingly.
3. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.
4. Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

**(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE**

January 29, 2026
Varinder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No