

2026:PHHC:008900



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

211+159

**CRM-1516-2026 in/and
CRM-M No.39400 of 2025
Date of Decision: 21.01.2026**

Jasvir Singh @ Jasbir SIngh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present: Mr. Vivek K.Thakur, Advocate,
for the applicant-petitioner.

Ms. Sakshi Bakshi, AAG, Punjab,
for the respondent-State.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

CRM-1516-2026

The application has become infructuous as the petitioner is
stated to have been surrendered before the concerned jail authorities.

Disposed of accordingly.

Main Case

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under
Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (For short



“BNSS”) seeking regular bail in the FIR mentioned below:-

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
33	03.06.2025	State Operations Amritsar, District Intelligence Wing (CID)	21 and 29 of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (for short ‘NDPS Act’) and 25 of Arms Act, 1959 (109 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (For short “BNS”) added later on)

2. As per the allegations, on 03.06.2025, a secret information was received that the petitioner and co-accused Akashdeep Singh were in contact with Pakistani smugglers and were involved in smuggling of heroin and weapons from Indo Pak Border. It was informed that during past few days, they in collusion with their accomplices had received a consignment of contraband heroin and weapons from Indo Pak Border and were supplying the same further. It was further informed that they could be apprehended with consignment of contraband and weapons from a particular area where they were moving at that time. Believing the secret information to be true, a raiding party was formed. Ruqa was sent to the police station. The police party reached at the informed place and found the petitioner and the co-accused Akashdeep Singh to be present there. The accused Akashdeep Singh was apprehended whereas, the petitioner fired gun shots with .32 bore pistol towards the members of the police party with an intent to kill them. In retaliation, there was exchange of fire and the petitioner sustained a firearm injury. He was injured and was rushed to hospital. On conducting search of

2026:PHHC:008900



co-accused Akashdeep Singh, recovery of 535 grams of heroin, 1 pistol along with magazine, 2 live rounds and 02 empty shells was effected whereas 0.32 bore pistol, a magazine and live cartridges were recovered from the petitioner. The petitioner was subsequently admitted to PGI. He was sent to judicial custody on 04.07.2025.

3. It is argued by learned counsel for the petitioner that he has been falsely implicated in this case. A false recovery has been planted upon him. Gun shot injury had been inflicted upon him by the police officials when he was on his way to inquire about his army recruitment test on 03.06.2025 and thereafter he was falsely implicated in this case. His family members were not even informed about his arrest. He has suffered medical complications due to the firearm injury sustained at the hands of the police officials. The contraband has not been recovered from him. The investigation and trial will take considerable time to conclude. No purpose would be served by detaining him in custody. It is, therefore, argued that he deserves to be released on bail.

4. Per contra, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab has argued that there are serious allegations against the petitioner who had made an attempt to kill the police officials when he was tried to be apprehended by them on the fateful day and it was only in self defence that firearms were used by the police officials. Now he has recovered. He has remained on interim bail for a long time. The investigation is still underway. There are

2026:PHHC:008900



chances of petitioner's absconding or tampering with the investigation, if extended benefit of bail. It is, therefore, argued that the petition does not deserve to be allowed.

5. This Court has considered the rival submissions.

6. The petitioner had admittedly sustained firearm injuries as on 03.06.2025 at the hands of the police officials when he was allegedly tried to be apprehended along with the co-accused and was carrying firearms as well as contraband. Recovery of firearm has been effected from him. The allegations make out a prima facie case for commission of offence punishable under Section 109 of BNS against him. His act of firing shots upon the police official also prima facie points out his knowledge that the co-accused who was with him was having commercial quantity of contraband with him. The investigation is still going on. The petitioner has remained on interim bail for quite sometime after filing of this petition and has surrendered only recently. Though the petitioner has claimed that his medical condition has not improved, however, no latest medical record has been produced by him to show so. Taking into consideration the gravity of the allegations as levelled against him, the acts attributed to him and the fact that the investigation is still underway, this Court is of the considered opinion that the petitioner does not deserve to be extended benefit of bail at this stage. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed.

7. It is, however, clarified that the observations made hereinabove



shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

21.01.2026
manju

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No