



107

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-42582-2025
DECIDED ON: 17.03.2026**

CHHINDA ALIAS SHINDA**.....PETITIONER**

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB**.....RESPONDENT****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH.**

Present: Mr. Narender Singh Kamboj, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vinay Malhotra, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed by the petitioner, seeking grant of anticipatory bail, in case, FIR No.59, dated 21.05.2025, under Sections 191(3), 190, 342, 351(1) of BNS (sections 115(2) and 118(1) of BNS added later on) and section 342 BNS was deleted vide rapat No.23 dated 23.05.2025, registered at Police Station Jaitu, District Faridkot.
2. On the very outset, learned State counsel, on instructions from Inspector Navpreet Singh, submits—though not definitively—that petitioner has already been arrested. In view thereof, it is contended that present petition can be disposed of, as the same having been rendered infructuous.
3. On the other hand, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he is not in a position to contact his client and, therefore, is unaware of the petitioner's actual status as of now.

4. Relying upon the statement made by learned State counsel, **present petition is disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.**

However, if the information furnished by learned State counsel is found to be incorrect, it shall be open for the petitioner to move an application for recalling of the present order and for revival of the present petition, within a period of four weeks from today.

17.03.2026
Lavisha

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>