



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(111-1+287-1)

CM-19909-CWP-2022 in/and
CWP-23551-2022
Date of decision:- 08.04.2026

Amit Goyal and another

... Petitioners

Versus

Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran and others

... Respondents

(111-2+287-2)

CM-19840-CWP-2022 &
CM-13330-CWP-2023 in/and
CWP-23558-2022

Amit Goyal and another

... Petitioners

Versus

Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present:- Mr. Namit Gautam, Advocate,
for the petitioners in both the cases.

Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate
for respondents-HSVP in both the cases.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

1. This singular order shall dispose of both the above noted writ petitions as they involve common questions of law and facts. For the sake of convenience, brief facts are being noticed from CWP-23551-2022.
2. Petitioners have approached this Court, *inter-alia*, for issuance of a writ of certiorari for quashing the status of the plot, which is reflected as “cancelled” on the official website of Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran (HSVP).



3. Petitioners were declared as successful in e-auction of residential plots in Sector-78, Urban Estate, Faridabad on 16.02.2022 and they were allotted a 10 marla plot. They deposited the initial 15% bid amount as per the terms and conditions of the allotment letter. It has been averred that HSVP failed to issue Letter of Intent (LOI) within the time stipulated in the allotment letter as a consequence of which, petitioners could not deposit the balance amount within the timeline as per the allotment letter. When the status of the plot was reflected as “cancelled” on the website, they approached this Court by filing the present petitions.

4. During the pendency of the writ petition, HSVP floated a scheme dated, 10.02.2023, Annexure R-2, affording a final chance to the allottees to regularize cancelled plots allotted via e-auction after 22.06.2021. Petitioners applied under the scheme and their application was accepted. They deposited the due payment, including interest and allotment letter, dated 14.07.2023, Annexure R-3, was issued to them. Possession certificate was issued on 08.07.2024, Annexure R-5, and a conveyance deed was executed on 20.08.2024, Annexure R-6.

5. Mr. Sabherwal, counsel for HSVP points out that the plot, in question, has been sold by petitioners and a re-allotment letter, dated 30.11.2022, Annexure R-7, has been issued. He submits that in view of the above development, both the petitions have become infructuous.

6. Countering him, counsel for petitioners states that in so far as the plot allotted to petitioners in CWP-23558-2022 is concerned, petitioners continue to be owners thereof and this plot is in their possession. By pointing out to the averments made in miscellaneous application (CM-13330-CWP-



2023 in CWP-23558-2022), counsel submits that petitioners are entitled to refund of interest deposited by them under protest. He states that petitioners will move an appropriate representation before HSVP for the refund of the same.

7. Although, Mr. Sabherwal has taken a stand that petitioners are not entitled to refund, however, keeping in view the subsequent developments as noticed above, both the petitions have become infructuous, and are dismissed as such.

8. Liberty is given to petitioners in CWP-23558-2022 to move an appropriate representation for the refund of the interest paid on the delayed deposit. In case, such a representation is moved by the petitioners within one month from today, respondent No.3 shall decide it within a period of two months thereafter.

9. Pending applications shall also stand disposed of.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

08.04.2026
Kamal

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No