

2026:PHHC:008275



508

FAO-5126-2017 (O&M)

Bharto Devi and another Vs. Pawan Kumar and others

Present:- None for the appellants.

Mr.Mr.Sarthak Mehta, Advocate for
Mr.P.H.S.Pannu, Advocate for the respondent-Insurance
Company.

On 21.11.2025, the following order was passed:

“As per office report, the address of the appellants is incomplete.

On being contacted telephonically by the staff of Lok Adalat, counsel for the appellants has conveyed that the appellants-claimants have taken brief from him.

In these circumstances, notice be issued to the appellants through Sh.Devender Hooda, Advocate, who represented the appellants before the MACT Rohtak, to instruct the appellants to appear in person before the Lok Adalat on the next date of hearing i.e. 24.12.2025.”

On 24.12.2025, the following order was passed:

“As per order dated 21.11.2025, notice was issued to the appellants for appearance before the Lok Adalat through Sh.Devender Hooda, Advocate, who represented the appellants before MACT Rohtak. Despite service, none is present for the appellants.

Let a specific notice be also issued to the counsel for the appellants stating that in case he fails to appear in person before the Lok Adalat on the next date of hearing, the case/file will be consigned to the record room with liberty to get it revived as and when required.

Adjourned to 21.01.2026.”

As per office report, service has been duly effected but still none is present on behalf of the appellant.

2026:PHHC:008275



FAO-5126-2017 (O&M)

-2-

In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served by keeping this appeal pending; therefore, the appeal is deemed to have been disposed of and the file is consigned to the record room with liberty to get it revived as and when required.

Copy of this order be supplied/sent to the counsel/parties.

Pending applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(JASBIR SINGH)
PRESIDENT

(B.C.GUPTA)
MEMBER

21.01.2026
Meenu