



279

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-5991-2019

Date of decision: 24.02.2026

Krishan Lal Bhatia

...Petitioner

Versus

General Public and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Ishank Bansal, Advocate for
Mr. Ankit Chowdhri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Narender Kumar Vashist, Sr. Panel Counsel,
Advocate for respondent Nos.3 to 5-UOI.

Mr. Ashok Bhardwaj, Advocate for respondent Nos.6 to 13.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a revision petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India read with Section 151 CPC for setting aside the order dated 30.08.2019 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Chandigarh.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is respondent No.2 in a petition filed under Section 372 of the Indian Succession Act, 1925. It is submitted that the petitioner's evidence was closed vide order dated 30.08.2019 and thus, he seeks only one effective opportunity to lead his entire evidence at his own responsibility.



3. Learned counsel for respondent Nos.6 to 13, who are the main contesting party and who are the persons who had filed the petition under Section 372 of the Indian Succession Act, 1925, has submitted that several opportunities had been taken by the petitioner to lead his entire evidence and in case one effective opportunity is to be granted to the petitioner, then, the petitioner should be burdened with costs and also he should lead the said evidence at his own responsibility.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in view of objection raised on behalf of the said contesting respondents, the petitioner would pay costs of Rs.16,000/-.

5. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances and fair stand taken on behalf of the petitioner as well as contesting respondents, the present revision petition is partly allowed and the impugned order dated 30.08.2019 is set aside and the petitioner is granted one effective opportunity to lead his entire evidence at his own responsibility and the same would be subject to the petitioner depositing an amount of Rs.16,000/- within a period of two weeks from today, which would be distributed to the eight petitioners who had filed petition under Section 372 of the Indian Succession Act, 1925 in equal proportion i.e. Rs.2000/- each. In case the said amount is not deposited within the aforesaid period, then, the present revision petition would be deemed to have been dismissed.

24.02.2026

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No