



CRM-M-38709-2025

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

112

CRM-M-38709-2025
Decided on:16.03.2026

Rupinder Kaur
Versus
.....Petitioner

State of Punjab
CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH
.....Respondent

Present: Mr. Jitender Singh Dadwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vinay Malhotra, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Kashish Mehta, Advocate for
Mr. Harmanpreet Singh, Advocate for the complainant.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023, is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station
Rupinder Kaur	0140	26.05.2025	420, 406, 120-B IPC and Section 24 of Immigration Act	Division 8, District Ludhiana

2. After examining the financial dispute and hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, following was recorded on 22.07.2025:

“ Petitioner prays for grant of pre-arrest bail in FIR No.0140 dated 26.05.2025 registered under Sections 420/406/120-B IPC and Section 24 of the Immigration Act at Police Station Division 8, District Ludhiana.



Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations levelled by the complainant are inherently improbable and unbelievable. Even the matter was orally compromised between the parties and the petitioner had agreed to pay an amount of Rs.11.50 lac to the complainant in the present case. Still the complainant party backed out from the compromise and the petitioner has been falsely involved in the present case. Without admitting her guilt and just to show his bonafide, the petitioner has voluntarily offered to deposit a sum of Rs.12 lac within a period of six weeks with the trial court/Area Magistrate, subject to outcome of the trial.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

List on 18.09.2025.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation. In the event of arrest, she shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of arresting/investigating officer subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C./482 (2) of BNSS, 2023.

The petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.10 lac within a period of six weeks from today with the trial Court/Area Magistrate, subject to outcome of the trial and the concerned Court shall deposit the said amount in a fixed deposit in some nationalised bank, fetching maximum rate of interest, during trial.”

3. On 22.12.2025, Mr. Harmanpreet Singh, Advocate, appeared on behalf of the complainant, Ms. Karamjit Kaur, and stated that an



CRM-M-38709-2025

3

amount of Rs. 7 lacs had been paid by the petitioner to the complainant and that she was ready to file an affidavit regarding the total amount received by her till that date.

4. Today, notarized affidavit dated 22.12.2025 has filed by complainant-Karamjit Kaur, through her counsel Ms. Kashish Mehta, Advocate, in Court today. Same is taken on record. Paragraph Nos. 2 to 4 of the said affidavit read as under:

“1. xxx

2. *That the compromise has been effected between the parties. The complainant received a sum of Rs.7 lacs out of Rs.12 lacs as per order dated 22.12.202 and the remaining amount i.e. Rs.5 lacs will be received at the time of recording the statement in compromise quashing before ld. Trial Court as per compromise.*

3. *That now the matter has been settled between the parties in above said FIR with the intervention of respectable persons of the society.*

4. *That it is pertinent to mention here that the deponent has not grudge against the petitioner. If the above bail may be granted to the petitioner, then deponent don't have any objection. The deponent is ready to appear before any competent court to record his statement regarding the compromise.”*

5. In view of the no objection given by learned counsel for the complainant and affidavit dated 22.12.2025 having been filed in this regard, order dated 22.07.2025 is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

**CRM-M-38709-2025****4**

It is made clear that petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

However, present order would be subject to the submission of her passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if she possesses, within a period of one week from today. Otherwise, she would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that she does not possess any passport.

6. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

March 16,2026
rashmi

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: ~~YES/NO~~
Whether Reportable: ~~YES/NO~~