



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

238

LPA-2053-2016

Date of Decision: 14.01.2026

HARJINDER SINGH

...Appellant

Versus

ADDL DEPUTY COMMISSIONER CUM REGISTRAR AND ORS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present:- Mr. Sachin Sharma, Advocate, for the appellant.
Mr. Yatin Bunger, AAG, Punjab.
Mr. Sushant Kareer, Advocate, for respondent No.3(ii).

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

1. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the appellant submits that the writ petition was not maintainable before this Court in view of the Rule 32 Volume 5, Chapter 4, Part F of the High Court Rules and Orders and the said argument could not be raised before the learned Single Judge and hence, the present appeal may kindly be disposed of having not been pressed any further, with liberty to approach the learned Single Judge by filing a review application.
2. Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

January 14, 2026
harish

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No