



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

215-A

FAO-4241-2017 (O&M)

Date of decision:- 16.01.2026

Amir Ram @ Meer Ram

...Appellant

vs.

Tarsem Chand and ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present:- None for the appellant.

Mr. Aseem Aggarwal, Advocate, for respondent No. 3.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA, J. (Oral)

1. None has put in appearance on behalf of the appellant on the last date of hearing i.e 13.01.2026 and Registry was directed to issue notice to the appellant as well as to his counsel. As per office report, Mr. Inderjit Sharma, learned counsel appearing for the appellant has been informed. Notice issued to the appellant has been received back with the report that the notice could not be served due to incomplete address.

2. Today the matter was called twice but there is no representation on behalf of the appellant. It seems that the appellant is no more interested in pursuing the present case. Therefore, this Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the present appeal for want of prosecution.

3. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.

4. Pending application (s), if any, also stand disposed of.

16.01.2026

Gaurav Arora

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

No