

2026:PHHC:021411



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

101

**CRM-M-41714-2024
Decided on:12.02.2026**

Vijay Anand

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bhardwaj

**Present: Mr. D.K. Tuteja, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

**Mr. Raman Chawla, Advocate, and
Mr. Nikhil Chopra, Advocate, for the complainant(s).**

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the present petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.0444 dated 30.12.2022, registered under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC, at Police Station Siwani, District Bhiwani.
2. Vide order dated 05.02.2025, while granting interim protection, the petitioner was directed to join investigation.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that pursuant to the order dated 05.02.2025, the petitioner has joined investigation many times and as such his interim bail may be made absolute.
4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that though the petitioner has joined investigation but he is not fully cooperating. He further submits that the petitioner has been declared proclaimed offender in another FIR.
5. On 29.08.2024, while issuing notice of motion, the matter was referred to

2026:PHHC:021411



coercive action shall be taken against the petitioner. However, the matter could not be settled in the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court and, thus, this Court heard the present case time and again. This Court had modified the order dated 29.08.2024 and directed the petitioner to join the investigation vide order dated 05.02.2025. On 08.04.2025, on joint request of both the sides, the matter was again referred to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court for settling the dispute amicably but the same remained unsuccessful. The bone of contention of the present case is the compromise between the parties. On one hand, counsel for the petitioner submits that he is ready to settle the dispute with the complainant; however, it is the complainant who is not complying with the terms and conditions of the compromise. On the other hand, counsel for the complainant submits that it is the petitioner who is not adhering to the compromise. The case was heard regularly but nothing fruitful has come out. The case in hand is primarily of civil nature. However, this Court cannot go into the terms and conditions of the compromise while dealing with this anticipatory bail petition and pending dispute, as stated above, is subject matter of trial which can be adjudicated upon only after weighing evidences.

6. In view of the above coupled with the fact that the petitioner has joined investigation many times, the interim order dated 05.02.2025 is made absolute subject to compliance of conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS.

7. Petition stands allowed.

February 12, 2026
vinod*

(Rajesh Bhardwaj)
Judge

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: NO/YES
Whether Reportable: NO/YES