



105

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-38382-2025  
DECIDED ON: 18.03.2026**

**MANRAJ SINGH****.....PETITIONER**

**VERSUS**

**STATE OF PUNJAB****.....RESPONDENT****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH.**

Present: Mr. Manoj K. Sharma, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

**SANJAY VASHISTH, J (ORAL)**

1. Present petition has been filed by the petitioner, seeking grant of anticipatory bail, in case, FIR No.028, dated 13.03.2025, under Sections 304(2), 3(5) of BNS, registered at Police Station Civil Lines, District Police Commissionerate, Amritsar.
2. After hearing the submissions addressed by counsel for the petitioner, on 21.07.2025, following order was passed:-

*“2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that in the present case, there is no one as the complainant qua the incident of snatching of mobile phone, however, on the basis of secret information FIR has been registered against the accused under the allegations of snatching of the mobile phones, though at best it can be called a case of arresting of the accused on the basis of secret information and then effect recoveries of the stolen mobile phones from the possession of the accused named in the case.*

*3. Learned counsel further submits that petitioner has been falsely involved in the case in hand and that too without following the due process of law. Further submits that petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation*

*if granted the protection of interim/anticipatory bail. Hence, he prays for the grant of the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the present case.*

*4. Notice of motion.*

*5. On advance notice, learned State counsel puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent – State, and seeks some time to respond to the submissions addressed by learned counsel opposite, after seeking instructions. And, in case of necessity, to file status report by verifying the submissions addressed by the petitioner’s counsel.*

*6. Adjourned to 28.10.2025.*

*7. In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).*

*8. Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.*

*It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court.”*

3. Today, learned State counsel submits that, in compliance with the directions issued by this Court vide order dated 21.07.2025, petitioner has failed to come forward to join the investigation.

4. Faced with the situation, counsel for the petitioner seeks withdrawal of the present petition.

5. Accordingly, present petition stands dismissed as withdrawn.

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)**  
**JUDGE**

**18.03.2026**

*Lavisha*

*Whether speaking/reasoned      Yes/No*  
*Whether reportable                Yes/No*