



259

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-20721-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 16.03.2026**

DEVENDER YADAV AND ORS**....Petitioners**

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS**....Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL**

Present: Mr. Shreenath A. Khemka, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Ruchi Sekhri, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (Oral):

1. The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari, against revised list dated 14.08.2024 to the extent that the petitioners were arbitrarily changed from DDESM candidates to DESM candidates and their eligibility was restricted to far fewer posts; with further a writ of mandamus directing the petitioners to be considered as DDESM candidates, instead of DESM candidates and further be granted equal opportunity for selection against all posts they were initially shortlisted against in the List dated 12.08.2024 by either providing them with a compensatory attempt or compensating them for the lost opportunity.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the present case of the petitioners is covered by the judgment of learned Single Judge



rendered in CWP-23346-2021 decided on 13.09.2024, against which Letters Patent Appeal No.130 of 2025 has also been dismissed vide order dated 29.01.2025 upholding/affirming the abovesaid judgment.

3. Learned State counsel does not controvert the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioners.

4. In the light of admitted fact by learned State counsel, present writ petition is allowed, in terms of decision rendered in Letters Patent Appeal No.130 of 2025 whereby the judgment dated 13.09.2024 passed in CWP No.23346 of 2021 was upheld.

5. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

16.03.2026
monika

- 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes /No
- 2. Whether reportable : Yes /No