



CRM-M-37720-2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

107

CRM-M-37720-2025
Decided on: 25.02.2026

Nagender Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Hemant Hans, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG Punjab

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.51 dated 29.01.2025 under Sections 316(2), 318(4) of BNS, registered at Police Station Zirakpur, District S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali).

2. In the present case, petitioner-Nagender Kumar is facing allegation that he agreed to sell his Shop No. 19, Maya Garden City Nagla Road, Zirakpur, District SAS Nagar to complainant-Sanjay Kumar, for a total sale consideration of Rs. 25,00,000/-, and amount of Rs. 19,26,000/- had been paid by the complainant to the petitioner (Rs.7,00,000/- in cash, Rs.10,20,000/- through RTGS and Rs.2,06,000/- through google pay). The complainant further alleged that after receiving of the above referred amount, petitioner ran away and even failed to attend the phone calls of the complainant. For the purpose of seeking anticipatory

**CRM-M-37720-2025**

bail on 23.07.2025, at first instance, petitioner contended that as the original agreement had not been seen by the petitioner, so he is not in a position to deny or accept the signatures over the same. However, the petitioner has not executed the alleged agreement to sell and it is a forged and fabricated document. He has further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation.

3. Today, learned counsel for the petitioner argues that, infact the complainant had been transferring the amount in the bank account of the petitioner and in lieu thereof used to take the cash amount from the petitioner. However, to fortify the said contention no past record is appended with the petition.

4. Faced with the situation, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks withdrawal of the present petition with liberty to file fresh anticipatory bail petition, before this Court once again, by citing the instances in support of the contention addressed today.

5. Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforementioned liberty.

25.02.2026

reena

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE5**Whether Speaking/Reasoned:
Whether Reportable:**YES/NO
~~YES~~/NO**