



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

FAO-2822-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.04.2026

Sonu

.....Appellant

Vs.

Mahavir and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Surya Kant, Advocate, for respondent No.2

Mr. Diwan S. Adlakha, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA J. (ORAL)

1. Mr. Diwan S. Adlakha, Advocate, has put in appearance and filed his Power of Attorney on behalf of respondent No.3.
2. None had put in appearance on behalf of the appellant since 23.08.2017, therefore, on the last date of hearing, Registry was directed to issue notice to the appellant as well as his counsel for the date fixed.
3. As per office report, notice issued to the appellant has been received back unserved for want of complete address and learned counsel for the appellant has been informed through e-mail.
4. Today also, there is no representation on behalf of the appellant.
5. In view of the above, this Court is now left with no other option but to dismiss the present appeal for want of prosecution.
6. Accordingly, the present appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.
7. Liberty is, however, reserved to the appellant to move an application to revive the present appeal in case any cause of action survives.
8. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

29.04.2026

Virender