



CRM-M-37217-2025

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

106

CRM-M-37217-2025
Decided on: 25.02.2026

Jaspreet Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Munish Kaboj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG Haryana

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of FIR No.77 dated 29.04.2025, under Sections 111(3), 296, 351(2), 351(3) of BNS, 2023 and Sections 24/54/59 of Arms Act at PS Sadar Ratia, District Fatehabad.

2. On 16.07.2025, following order was passed:

“(i) Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:

Name of Petitioner(s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Gurdit Singh, aged about 22 years	179	15.10.2025	333, 115(2), 118(2), 351(2), 3(5) of BNS, 2023	Sadar Sri Muktsar Sahib	Sri Muktsar Sahib



(ii) *Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is not a member of the syndicate allegedly involved in organized crime. His name has been implicated solely on the basis of the disclosure statement of a co-accused, which alleges that the petitioner recorded a video on the mobile phone of co-accused Rajan and subsequently made it viral on social media i.e. Instagram. It is submitted that such an allegation does not establish the petitioner as a participant or member of the alleged group. The petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation and cooperate with the authorities. Accordingly, he prays for the grant of anticipatory bail.*

(iii) *Notice of motion.*

On advance notice, Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent – State.

(iv) *Adjourned to 26.09.2025.*

(v) *In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).*

(vi) *Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.*

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court ”

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance to the directions issued by this Court vide order dated 16.07.2025, the petitioner has joined investigation.

5. Learned State counsel on instructions, confirms the said averment made by counsel for the petitioner of joining the investigation by the petitioner on 24.08.2025 and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

6. Heard learned counsel for the parties.



CRM-M-37217-2025

3

7. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 16.07.2025 passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.
8. The petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.
9. However, present order would be subject to the submission of his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses, within a period of one week from today. Otherwise, he would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.
10. It is clarified that in case, aforesaid condition is not complied with, this order would be considered as *non est* automatically.

25.02.2026
reena

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned:	YES/ NO
Whether Reportable:	YES /NO