



CRR-1724-2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

207

CRR-1724-2025
Date of Decision: 22.04.2026

Ranjit Singh @ Ranjit Kumar @ GoriPetitioner

Versus

State of PunjabRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Manoj R. Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Akshay Kumar, A.A.G., Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
130	20.12.2018	Sadar Gurdaspur	21 of NDPS Act and 473 IPC

Criminal before Court	Case trial	CHI-409-2019 CNR No. PBGD03-002380-2019 Decided on: 11.05.2023
Criminal Appeal before Appellate Court		CRA-144-2023 CNR No. PBGD010047042023 Decided on: 05.04.2025

Convict's name	Penal provision	Sentence
Ranjit Singh @ Ranjit Kumar @ Gori	21 of NDPS Act and 473 IPC	Substantive sentence: RI for 01 year

Seeking setting aside of impugned judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 11.05.2023 passed by ACJM, Gurdaspur convicting the petitioner and affirmed by Additional Sessions Judge, Gurdaspur, the petitioner had come up before this Court by filing the present revision petition.

2. At the outset, counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be contended and satisfied if the sentence is reduced to the period already undergone by the petitioner in the FIR



CRR-1724-2025

captioned above and he does not want to argue the revision petition on merits. He further submits that the contraband was 2 grams of Heroin. Needless to say that the contraband lesser than 05 grams is small quantity and the FIR is of the year 2018 which means that the petitioner is facing criminal prosecution from the last 08 years which itself is traumatic.

3. State counsel strongly opposes such prayer. State counsel has handed over custody certificate of the petitioner dated 21.04.2026, as per which the appellant has already undergone a period of 04 months and 19 days out of maximum sentence of 01 year.

4. Given the submission made by counsel for the petitioner and State counsel and after going through the record of the case, I am of the considered opinion that ends of justice would be met if the judgment of conviction is affirmed and sentence of the petitioner is reduced to the period already undergone by him i.e. 04 months and 19 days.

5. With the aforesaid observations, petition stands disposed of. Judgment of conviction is affirmed and order of sentence is modified and sentence is reduced to the period already undergone by the petitioner. Amount of fine is forfeited to State. Surety bonds, if any furnished stands discharged. All pending applications, if any also stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

22.04.2026
Jyoti Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: No.