



CWP-7110-2018 (O&M)

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(242)

**CWP-7110-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 21.04.2026**

Divisional Forest Officer, Van Mandal,
Gohana Road, Sonapat

...Petitioner

Versus

Smt. Bala/Rajbala and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Kapil Bansal, DAG, Haryana
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Goyat, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (ORAL)

1. Through the instant writ petition, cast under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the legality of award dated 14.03.2017 (Annexure P-8), passed by learned Tribunal concerned (respondent No.2), has been put to challenge by the petitioner/Management, wherethrough, the reference was answered in favour of the respondent No.1/workman, and she was awarded the relief of reinstatement, with continuity of service and 50% back wages.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner/State, fairly submits that respondent No.1/workman, has already been reinstated into the service, and now, the only issue which remains for consideration is with regard to 50% back wages, awarded by learned Tribunal concerned. He further placed reliance upon the judgment passed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court in



CWP-7110-2018 (O&M)

2

CWP-22006-2017 and other connected matters, decided on 05.09.2024, wherein, Coordinate Bench of this Court had reduced the amount of back wages from 50% to 30%.

3. Learned counsel for respondent No.1/workman, does not dispute the above said fact, and submits that the issue as involved in the instant writ petition, is squarely covered with the judgment (supra).

4. In view of the consensus arrived at between the parties concerned, the instant writ petition is **disposed of**, and the impugned award is modified only to the extent that respondent No.1/workman, would be entitled for 30% back wages, instead of 50%.

5. Needless to say, that petitioner/department, shall pay 30% back wages to respondent No.1/workman, within a period of three months, from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, failing which, the respondent No.1/workman, would further be entitled for interest @ 9% per annum, on the delayed payment.

6. Pending applications, if any, stands disposed of accordingly.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

April 21, 2026
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No