



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

133/1

**RSA-3167-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision : 19.01.2026**

Palminder Singh

..... Appellant

versus

Rani (deceased) through her LRs

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate
for the appellant.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. Defendant is in second appeal.
2. Plaintiff filed suit seeking decree of permanent injunction to the effect that defendants/appellants be restrained from dispossessing the plaintiff forcibly and illegally from the suit property.
3. Possession of the plaintiff over the suit property is not in dispute. Rather, defendants filed civil suit No.457 of 2013 whereby they sought decree of mandatory injunction and sought vacant possession from the plaintiff qua the same suit property.
4. In these circumstances, the Courts below have decreed the suit of the plaintiff holding him entitled to decree of perpetual injunction prohibiting defendants from dispossessing him except in due course of law.
5. Mr. Singh does not dispute that in view of the fact that the possession of the plaintiff is not disputed coupled with the factum of filing of the separate suit for mandatory injunction by the appellant/

defendant, the possession of the plaintiff remains undisputed.



6. In view thereof, finding no merits in the present appeal, the same is ordered to be dismissed.
7. Since the main case has been decided, pending miscellaneous application, if any, shall also stands disposed off.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

19.01.2026
Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No