

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

RSA-1476-2011(O&M)
Date of decision: 10.03.2026

Avinash Kumar and another

... Appellants

Versus

Inderjit Singh and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Ms. Jyoti Sareen, Advocate,
for the appellants.
None for respondent No.1.
Respondents No.2 to 4 proceeded against *ex parte* vide order
dated 26.07.2011.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J. (ORAL)

- No application for bringing on record the legal representatives of respondent No.1 has been filed. No one has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.1 as well.
2. Learned counsel for the appellants submits that despite repeated efforts, the appellants have not responded.
3. On a query raised by the Court, as to whether anything survives in the appeal, learned counsel for the appellants submits that since the appellants are not responding, she is unable to state about the same.
4. It appears that with the efflux of time, the appellants may have lost interest in pursuing the appeal.
5. That being so, the Court is choiceless but to dismiss the appeal for non-prosecution.
6. Ordered accordingly.
7. However, in case the appellants wish to pursue the appeal, they will be at liberty to file an application for revival of the same in accordance with law.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

March 10, 2026
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No