

**229 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2026.PHHC.009192



**CRM-M-38015-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 21.01.2026**

VARINDER AGGARWAL **... PETITIONER**
VERSUS
Dr. KIRAN ANGRA AND OTHERS **... RESPONDENTS**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Shivam Kaushik, Advocate for respondents No.1&2.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. has been filed for quashing of order dated 01.08.2018 (Annexure P-6) whereby the complaint No.66 dated 22.07.2014/28.09.2016 filed by the complainant-Petitioner against the respondents U/s 467, 468, 471, 120-B has been dismissed and for quashing the order dated 28.05.2019 (Annexure P-7) whereby the revision filed before the learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Ambala against the same has also been dismissed.

2. The finding of the learned trial Court and the Appellate Court were to the effect that there was no sufficient material for summoning the accused as no *prima facie* case was made out for summoning them under the given Sections. The petitioner was ousted Vice President of the Managing Committee and aggrieved by his ouster, he had filed the present petition alleging that as per Clause 6(b) of the Kurukshetra University Calendar 2009, Volume I, Ordinance XVI, Appendix I, the complainant had to become acting President till the remaining term of the Governing body. He has

relied upon the RTI documents to make out a case and alleged that the Managing Committee did not have the correct authorization and proper resolution when appointing respondent No.4 as President. He alleges that upon the death of the previous President, he, being the Vice-President should have been made acting President for the remainder of the term but was instead removed from his post illegally. He has also alleged the forgery of the signatures of the deceased on forged and fabricated documents and letters to the Director General Higher Education, Haryana.

3. After perusal of the documents and going through the case file, it shows that the petitioner could not prove the allegations by him in the complaint and relied upon the RTI reports which were not supported by any corroborative evidence.

4. In the light of the above, this Court does not find any infirmity in the impugned orders and the present petition, is accordingly, dismissed.

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

21.01.2026

Janki

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No