

201

CRM-M-39082-2025
Date of Decision: 16.01.2026

...Petitioner

Versus

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Jasdev Singh Mehndiratta, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Shivendra Dwivedi, Advocate
Mr. Rishab Raj Jain, Advocate
Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate
Mr. Kanwarnoor Singh Sethi, Advocate and
Ms. Tanveen Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Shiva Khurmi, D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Vinod Ghai, Sr. Advocate and
Mr. Rahul Sharma, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Suhaan Mukerji, Advocate
Mr. Arnav Ghai, Advocate
Mr. Adarsh Kumar, Advocate
Mr. Tanmay Sinha, Advocate
Mr. R.S. Bagga, Advocate and
Mr. Aditya Narayan Arya Garg, Advocate
for the complainant.

* * * *

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
121	25.03.2023	DLF, Sector 29, Gurugram	409, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B, 201 IPC

1. The petitioner, incarcerated in the FIR captioned above, had come up before this Court under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, [BNSS], seeking regular bail.

2. Vide order dated 17.09.2025, this Court had granted interim regular bail to the petitioner subject to his joining investigation as and when called upon to do so by the Investigator and concerned Superintendent of Police was directed to conduct further investigation in the FIR captioned above for recovery of proceeds of crime.

3. Counsel for the petitioner submits that he has complied with the condition as envisaged by this Court and has cooperated with the Investigating Agency.



CRM-M-39082-2025

4. Counsel for the complainant as well as State does not dispute the aforesaid fact and counsel for the complainant submits that he has no objection if the interim bail granted to the petitioner is made absolute.

5. Given above, the present petition is allowed. Interim order dated 17.09.2025 is made absolute. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

16.01.2026
Jyoti Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: No.