



242

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-5513-2024 (O&M)

Date of decision: 09.04.2026

Santosh Gupta @ Santosh Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Gayatri Gupta and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Pandit Vinod Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Arihant Jindal, Advocate and
Mr. Kanish Jindal, Advocate for respondent No.1.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a revision petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the order dated 26.07.2024 (Annexure P-6) passed by the Civil Judge (Junior Division), Patiala, whereby an application filed by the petitioners under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC for rejection of plaint has been dismissed.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned counsel for respondent No.1 have submitted that since the matter has been compromised between the parties and the main suit itself has been withdrawn before the National Lok Adalat on 13.09.2025, thus, the present revision petition has been rendered infructuous and same may kindly be disposed of as such.

3. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present revision petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

4. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

09.04.2026*Pawan***(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE****Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No**