



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

231

LPA-2058-2024

Date of Decision: 17.02.2026

NARESH KUMAR

..Appellant

Versus

SUPERINTENDING CANAL OFFICER AND ORS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present:- None for the appellant.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

1. No one appears on behalf of the appellant. Even the process fee has not been deposited though an interim order has been passed in favour of the appellant.
2. As no one is appearing for the appellant, coupled with the fact that no process fee has been deposited to serve the respondents, it can safely be presumed that the appellant is not interested in pursuing the present appeal.
3. Dismissed for non-prosecution.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

February 17, 2026
harish

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No