



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

261

**CRM-M-37901-2025 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 06.05.2026.**

Rohtas Singh and others

....Petitioners.

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Rohan Mittal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Jhanda, Senior Deputy Advocate General,
Haryana.

Mr. Ravi Kumar Girdhwal, Advocate for respondents No.2 and 3.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

The present petition under Section 528, BNSS, 2023, has been filed by the petitioners, for quashing of FIR No.268 dated 14.09.2022 (Annexure P-1), for the offences punishable under Sections 148, 149, 323, 341, 354-A, 506 and 509 of IPC (Section 354-A and 509 of IPC deleted, whereas Section 325 of IPC added later on), registered at Police Station City Ateli, District Mahendergarh, with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 19.06.2025 (Annexure P-2).

2. Vide order dated 21.07.2025, the affected parties were directed to appear before the learned Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, for getting their respective statements recorded with regard to the compromise.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate

Ist Class, Narnaul, in pursuance to the directions of this Court wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report(s) compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondents have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report. The relevant part of the said report is reproduced here-below:

Sr. No.	Description	
1.	Total number of persons found involved as accused in the dispute/FIR	Eleven
2.	Number of complainant/victim(s)	One complainant and one victim
3.	Whether all the accused and complainant / victims are party to compromise & signed the same	Yes
4.	In case, any affected person (accused or complainant) is left out or not arrayed as party in the quashing petition before High Court, detail whereof; OR	No
	His/her statement is still to be recorded, in compliance to the direction of this Court, details of such person	--
5.	Whether any accused has been declared as a proclaimed offender/person or any such proceedings against him/her have been initiated or pending adjudication	No
6.	Report of the Court whether compromise is genuine, voluntary, and without any coercion or undue influence	Yes
7.	Any other aspect relevant to the present case.	All the relevant aspects have been detailed above.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and the private respondent is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Narnaul, and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

7. Needless to say that the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

8. Petition stands **disposed of**.

Pending misc. application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

06.05.2026

jitender

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/ No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/ No