

2026:PHHC:089687



CRM-M-35162-2026 (O&M)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

126

CRM-M-35162-2026 (O&M)

Date of decision: 03.07.2026

Raj Bala and others**Petitioners****VERSUS****State of Haryana and others****Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL**

Present: Mr. Surinder Singh Duhan, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana.

Shalini Singh Nagpal, J.(Oral)

1. The petition under Section 528 of BNSS has been filed for directing official respondent No.2 to summon the record pertaining to complaints moved by petitioner No.1 against the private respondents, take appropriate action thereon and to provide timely police help to the petitioners for harvesting their crops.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners and respondents No.6 and 7 were co-sharers in agricultural land situated in the revenue estate of Village Khraintee Tehsil Julana, District Jind. An application for partition of land was pending before Assistant Collector, IInd Grade, Julana. Respondents No.6 and 7 had been illegally encroaching upon the fields, owned and possessed by the petitioners and committing theft of the sown crops by harvesting it. In this regard, petitioner No.1 moved complaints on 15.05.2025, 27.10.2025 and 21.11.2025 but no action was taken by the police. Another complaint dated 14.04.2026 was made at 11:26 PM by one Suresh Kumar, petitioners' lessee, yet no action was taken. The

2026:PHHC:089687

**CRM-M-35162-2026 (O&M)**

prayer is to provide timely police help to the petitioners to enable them to harvest their crops.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of official respondents. He, on instructions from ASI Lakhwinder Singh submits that complaints moved by the petitioners were received by the police. Thereupon, statements of the parties were recorded. Inquiry in the complaints was completed on 12.06.2025. No offence was made out as the dispute was within the family, therefore, the complaints were filed on 12.06.2025.

5. Petitioners and respondents No.6 and 7 are close relatives. Respondent No.6 is the daughter-in-law of petitioner No.1 and respondent No.7 is her grandson. Petitioners and respondents No.6 and 7 are concededly co-sharers in joint holding and partition proceedings are pending in respect of the joint land. The dispute involved is civil in nature. Disputed questions of fact, regarding possession of petitioners on the disputed land and their right to harvest the crop in joint land cannot be gone into in the writ petition. As such, there is no ground to issue any directions to the respondents.

6. The petition stands disposed of.

7. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

03.07.2026

Kapil

Whether Speaking/Reasoned :

Yes/No

Whether Reportable :

Yes/No