



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

107

CRM-M-36289-2025
Decided on: 09.03.2026

RAJJAT ALIAS RAJAT
.....Petitioner
Versus
STATE OF PUNJAB
.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Gurmeet Singh, Advocate, for
Ms. Gurpreet Kaur, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Rajjat alias Rajat, aged about 27 years	72	16.05.2025	21 of NDPS Act (Sections 22, 29 of NDPS Act added later on)	Sadar Nawanshahr	Nawanshahr

2. On 11.07.2025, following order was passed:-

“2. Prosecution case is that from the possession of the main accused, i.e., Harpreet Singh @ Happy, there is recovery of 15 grams of ‘Heroin’, which is ‘non-commercial quantity’. Subsequent to the registration of FIR, and arrest of the main accused – Harpreet Singh @ Happy, name of the petitioner has emerged through an alleged disclosure statement given by him. In the said disclosure statement, petitioner has been



detailed as a supplier of the contraband, which has already been recovered from the main accused.

3. *Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that there is no other evidence with the prosecution, connecting the petitioner with the alleged crime. However, he expresses his inclination to join investigation, if protected from arrest. Thus, he prays for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the present case.*

4. *Notice of motion.*

5. *On advance notice, learned State counsel puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent – State, and seeks some time to respond to the submissions addressed by learned counsel opposite, after seeking instructions. And, in case of necessity, to file status report by verifying the submissions addressed by the petitioner’s counsel.*

6. *Adjourned to 14.10.2025.*

7. *In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).*

8. *Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport. It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court.”*

3. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the order dated 11.07.2025, passed by this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation, and has fully co-operated. Therefore, he prays for confirmation of the said interim anticipatory bail order.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Mohinderpal, confirms the said averment made by counsel for the petitioner of joining the investigation on 23.07.2025 by the petitioner,



CRM-M-36289-2025

3

and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 11.07.2025, passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

However, present order would be subject to the submission of passport of the petitioner to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses, within a period of one week from today. Otherwise, he would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.

It is clarified that in case, aforesaid condition is not complied with, this order would be considered as *non est* automatically.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

09.03.2026
Lavisha

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO