



FAO-3957-2016 (O&M)

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

FAO-3957-2016 (O&M)

National Insurance Co. Ltd. and ors.

.....Appellants

vs.

Raj Kumari and ors.

.....Respondents

Date of Reserve: 23.03.2026

Date of Pronouncement: 23.04.2026

Uploaded on:- 28.04.2026

Whether only the operative part of the judgment is pronounced? ***No***
Whether full judgment is pronounced? ***Yes***

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present: Mr. Pardeep Kumar, Advocate, for the appellants.

Mr. Naresh Jain, Advocate
for respondent No. 1 to 5, 11 and 13.

SUDEEPTI SHARMA J.

1. The present appeal has been preferred against the award dated 02.04.2016 passed by the learned Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Chandigarh in the claim petition filed under Section 166 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 (for short, 'the Tribunal'), wherein the appellant-Insurance Company was held liable to pay compensation to the claimants/appellants tune of Rs.29,98,167/- along with interest @ 7.5% per annum, on account of death of Ranjit Ramsingh in a Motor Vehicular Accident, occurred on 25.03.2014. on the ground that the compensation awarded is on the higher side.

2. As sole issue for determination in the present appeal is confined to quantum of compensation awarded by the learned Tribunal, a detailed narration of the facts of the case is not required to be reproduced here for the sake of brevity.



SUBMISSIONS OF LEARNED COUNSEL FOR THE PARTIES

3. Learned counsel for the appellant vehemently argues that the amount awarded to the claimants is on the higher side. He further contends that the learned Tribunal has erred in law in assessing the income of the deceased.

5 Per contra, learned counsel for the claimants-respondent Nos. 1 to 5, 11 and 13 contends that the amount assessed by the learned Tribunal is on the lower side and deserves to be enhanced.

5. He further submits that respondent No. 1 to 5 has filed separate appeal i.e ***FAO No. 1032-2017 titled as Raj Kumar and others vs. National Insurance Co. Ltd and others***, seeking enhancement of compensation awarded to the claimants by the learned Tribunal. Therefore, he prays for dismissal of the appeal and compensation be enhanced as per latest law.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the whole record of this case.

7. At the very outset, it is pertinent to note that ***FAO No. 1032-2017 titled as Raj Kumar and others vs. National Insurance Co. Ltd and others*** filed by the claimants has already been allowed by this Court vide order of even date detailing therein regarding every aspect of the arguments raised in the present appeal.

8. In view of the above, the present appeal is dismissed.

9. Pending application (s), if any, also stand disposed of.

23.04.2026

Gaurav Arora

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/non-speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes