



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

203

**CRM-M-35748-2025 (O&M)
Decided on: 30.01.2026**

GURVIDNER SINGH @ GAGGU

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Mr. Dinesh Kumar, Advocate with
Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. This is the first petition filed under Section 482 BNSS, 2023 seeking grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.04 dated 26.01.2025 under Sections 333, 296, 351(2), 351(3), 324, 191(3), 190 of BNS, 2023 registered at Police Station Sadar Morinda, Rupnagar.

2. The allegations against the present petitioner are that the petitioner along with other co-accused who were 12 in number while carrying deadly weapons like *Kirpans*, *gandas* (Axes) and metal rods forcibly entered into the house of the complainant and caused damage to the gate and windows of the house of the complainant and also used filthy language against complainant-party and threatened to kill them.



2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the FIR was registered after delay of 07 days and the FIR is counter blast to the case registered against the son of the complainant and his accomplices; no injury has been caused to any person; all the offences are bailable except offence under Section 333 of BNS; the petitioner is ready to join the investigation.

3. Learned counsel for the State submitted that the petitioner has not joined the investigation and is not cooperating with the investigation, as directed by this Court vide order dated 10.07.2025 passed by Coordinate Bench of this Court, nor he approached the Illaqa Magistrate.

5. Heard.

6. Keeping in view the contentions made by learned counsel for the parties, orders dated 10.07.2025 perused vide which the petitioner was directed to join investigation, with further directions that in case the Investigating Officer does not permit the petitioner to join the investigation, he would appear before learned Illaqa Magistrate, who would then summon the Investigating Officer & direct him to join the petitioner in the investigation, in terms of the order of this Court. However, despite the specific directions passed by this Court, neither the petitioner has approached the Illaqa Magistrate nor has he joined the investigation. In view of the conduct of the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner and

2026:PHHC:014007



-3-

the same is hereby dismissed. However, the Investigating Officer is directed to follow the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Arnesh Kumar vs. State of Bihar and Another (2014)*, 8 SCC 273.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

30.01.2026
Sonia Puri

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO