



CWP-4504-2018 1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(201-2)

CWP-4504-2018
Date of Decision : March 25, 2026

Rita Kant

.. Petitioner

Versus

**Vice-Chancellor, Panjab University, Sector-14, Chandigarh
and another**

.. Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. S.S. Pathania, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Sharma, Advocate with
Mr. Karan Vir Singh, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the issue raised in the present writ petition was also subject matter in ***CWP No.2509 of 2017 titled as Dr. Sanjeev Kumar vs. Chandigarh Administrative through Secretary Education, U.T., Chandigarh, decided on 03.05.2018*** and others wherein, after considering para 8.00, 8.1.0 and 8.2.0 of the UGC Guidelines, the benefit of past service has been given by recording the finding that the past service rendered could have been with any institution, which aspect is covered under para 8 of UGC Guidelines hence, the argument being raised by the Chandigarh Administration in the present petition, has already been decided against the Chandigarh Administration.

2. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 has not been able to rebut that the order dated 03.05.2018 passed by the Coordinate Bench of this

**CWP-4504-2018****2**

Court in *Dr. Sanjeev Kumar's case (supra)*, covers the issue in favour of the petitioner.

3. Hence, the present petition is allowed in terms of the order passed in *Dr. Sanjeev Kumar's case (supra)*.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

March 25, 2026
harsha

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No