



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.110-3

FAO-3798-2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 25.09.2025

SATYA DEVI ...Appellant

Versus

RESHAM SINGH AND ORSRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANDEEP PANNU

Present:- None for the appellant.

Ms. Ravinder Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Gurcharan Dass, Advocate
for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. D.K. Prajapati, Advocate
for respondent No.3-Insurance Company.

MANDEEP PANNU, J. (Oral)

Despite the matter having been called out three times, there has been no appearance on behalf of the appellant.

In view of these circumstances, it appears that the appellant is not interested in prosecuting the present appeal.

Hence, the same is hereby dismissed for want of prosecution, with liberty to revive it, if necessary.

All pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of accordingly.

25.09.2025 (MANDEEP PANNU)
Anu JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No