



CRM-M-36195-2025 (O & M)

::1::

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(122)

CRM-M-36195-2025 (O & M)

Date of decision: 17.03.2026

(Through VC)

Bhupinder Singh @ Bhuppi

..... Petitioner(s)

V/s

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Dushyant Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. T.P. Singh, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

The prayer in the present petition under Section 483 BNSS is for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No.104 dated 25.03.2021 under Sections 302, 307, 341, 473, 201 and 120-B IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act registered at Police Station Ambala City, Ambala.

2. The present FIR came to be registered at the instance of Ashwani and reads as under:-

"Sir, Copy of writing is as follows: Statement of Ashwani son of Sh. Sarwan Kumar, House No. 1094 Manimajra, Mori Gate, Police Station Manimajra Chandigarh, aged 35 years, Mobile No. 62849-72356. Stated that I am resident of above stated address and I do the business of 'chicken' in Mauli Jagran. Today, on dated 25.03.2021, I and Gaurav, Pardeep alias Panja and Rahul, residents of Mauli Jagran Chandigarh, riding on vehicle No. CH01-AC 1620, Make Verna, had come to Ambala Court for 'Peshi' and after conversing with our Advocate, with



CRM-M-36195-2025 (O & M)

::2::

regard to the case, we were returning from Court to Mauli Jagran. Outside the Court Gate, we met three boys namely Deepa Gujjar, Nandu and one another boy, whose name I do not know, who have also come to the Court for hearing (Peshi) purpose. We made them sit alongwith us, in our car, and we dropped all three boys namely Deepa Gujjar, Nandu and the said third boy at Aggarsen Chowk and we started for Mauli Jagran. Gaurav was driving the car and I was sitting adjoining to his seat. Rahul was sitting on the back seat of car behind me and Pardeep alias Panja was sitting at back seat towards right side, behind Gaurav. At around 12:00, when we reached, in our car, at Kalka Chowk, then ahead of our car, one Swift car was going and the driver of Swift car suddenly applied brakes and stopped his car, ahead of our car. Out of the persons riding in the said car, two young boys, with weapons in their respective hands, alighted from the car and started firing blindly towards our car and these boys blindly fired bullets upon Pardeep alias Panja and Rahul, who were sitting at the back seat of our car and both these boys, who had alighted from the Swift car, also fired bullets upon me and Gaurav. The bullet hit at the back of my left shoulder and Gaurav suffered bullet injury on his right arm and abdomen towards right side. Pardeep alias Panja and Rahul who were sitting on back seat suffered lot of bullet blows and they being covered with blood, got unconscious at the back seat. Upon listening the noise of the bullets, lot of mob gathered at the spot and police also reached. Upon seeing them, both the said boys alongwith their respective weapons, after sitting in the car, ran away from the spot, alongwith their other companions. Later, the number of the car was found to be HR 07 X 4314, Colour White-Swift. The gathering of people, at the spot, and the police, after arranging ambulance, got us admitted in Civil Hospital Ambala City for treatment purpose.



CRM-M-36195-2025 (O & M)

::3::

The doctor at Civil Hospital Ambala City, after checking Pardeep alias Panja and Rahul, declared them dead and Gaurav, for treatment purpose, was referred to Sector-32 Chandigarh, and I am admitted in Civil Hospital Ambala City. Out of the boys riding in the Swift car, these two boys who alighted from the car, under a well planned conspiracy, fired upon our vehicle and murdered Pardeep alias Panja and Rahul. Bullets were also inflicted upon me and Gaurav. The two boys, who fired upon our vehicle, can be identified by me, if they are produced before me. Legal action may kindly be taken against them. The statement has been got recorded, heard and the same is correct. Sd/- Ashwani.

3. During the course of investigation, one Rakesh Kumar was apprehended who named the present petitioner as the main conspirator at who's instance the crime was committed. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner wanted to commit the murder of one Manish Kumar who is a member of a rival gang. The said Manish Kumar had committed the murder of one Amit Bouncer, a close associate of the present petitioner. However, it transpired that two persons murdered in the instant case were shot dead mistakenly.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and the was behind bars in some other case at the time of the occurrence. No recovery has been effected from him. Rakesh Kumar who has named the petitioner in his disclosure statement has been granted the concession of bail. The eye-witness/Ashwani has turned



CRM-M-36195-2025 (O & M)

::4::

hostile. As the petitioner is in custody for the last 05 years, he is entitled to the concession of bail.

5. The learned counsel for the State, on the other hand, while referring to the status report dated 04.08.2025 which is already on record, contends that it is a case of murder of two persons which was committed mistakenly. The target of the petitioner was one-Manish Kumar who committed the murder of Amit Bouncer, a gang member of the petitioner. Therefore, it is the petitioner who is the main accused. Certain YouTube videos have been uploaded to this effect. He is an accused in as many as 22 cases, the details of which are at Annexure R-5. Only 05 prosecution witnesses remain to be examined. In this situation, the petitioner ought not to be granted the concession of bail as he is likely to abscond in case he is granted bail.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

7. As per the case of the prosecution, two persons, namely, Pradeep alias Panja and Rahul were shot dead mistakenly, though, the target was one Manish Kumar who had committed the murder of Amit Bouncer, a gang member of the petitioner. The petitioner is the main conspirator. Merely because he was in jail at the relevant time would be of little relevance because it is no one's case that he was present at the spot and shot at the deceased. He is a serial offender being an accused in 22 cases. The Trial is at its fag end and only 05 prosecution witnesses remain to be examined.



CRM-M-36195-2025 (O & M)

::5::

- 8. In view of the above, I find no merit in the present petition and the same stands dismissed.
- 9. The observations made in this order are only for the purposes of deciding this bail application and the Trial Court shall adjudicate upon the matter based on the evidence led therein.
- 10. The pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of accordingly.

March 17, 2026
sukhpreet

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No