

2026:PHHC:088311



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**119**

**CRWP-7677-2026**

**Date of decision :30.06.2026**

**PINKI AND ANOTHER**

**... PETITIONERS**

**VERSUS**

**UT OF CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS**

**...RESPONDENTS**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARMOD GOYAL**

Present: Mr. Mohan Singh Rana, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Alankrit Bhardwaj, Addl. P.P., U.T., Chandigarh.

\*\*\*\*

**PARMOD GOYAL, J. (ORAL)**

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India are seeking protection of their lives and liberty as guaranteed by Article 21 of the Constitution of India.
2. Notice of motion.
3. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Alankrit Bhardwaj, Addl. P.P., U.T., Chandigarh, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. Service of notice to the private respondents is dispensed with as no adverse order is passed against them.
4. As per petition and documents enclosed therewith i.e. Aadhar Card issued by the Government of India, petitioner No.1-Pinki is aged 34 years and petitioner No.2- Arjun Barodiya is aged 21 years. The petitioners are residing with each other after the marriage which is solemnized on 28.06.2026 at Prachin

Pashupati Nath Shiv Mandir Society, M.D.C. Sector-6, Panchkula according to Hindu rites.

5. The petitioners have made a representation dated 28.06.2026 (Annexure P-5) to Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh seeking protection of life and liberty. The petitioners have no apprehension of causing any injury on the part of the police or other Government authorities whereas they are claiming that there is apprehension that their relatives may cause injury and put their lives in danger.

6. The official respondents are directed to examine the representation of the petitioners in accordance with law and to ensure protection as per threat perception. The disposal of present petition shall not be construed as protection to petitioners from their civil or criminal liability which, if any, they have incurred in past or may incur in future. This order further would not legalize their relation, if not permissible by law in force.

7. Disposed of.

30.06.2026  
manoj

**(PARMOD GOYAL)**  
**JUDGE**

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes    |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |