



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH**

119

**CRWP-7674-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision: 30.06.2026**

KAMALPREET KAUR AND ANOTHER

...PETITIONERS

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Present: Mr. Gursevak Singh, Advocate and
Mr. Vakeel Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Akash Yadav, AAG, Punjab.

SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL J.(ORAL)

Apprehending danger to their life and liberty, both petitioners who are in live-in relationship have filed the present petition with a prayer to direct official respondents to protect their life and liberty from respondents No. 4 to 8.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both petitioners are major, petitioner No.1 was born on 21.07.1999 and petitioner No.2 on 01.01.1992. Learned counsel further submits that petitioner No.1 had solemnized marriage with respondent No.4 and out of the wedlock two children were born. Petitioner No.2 was unmarried. On account of temperamental differences, petitioner No.1 and respondent No.4 could not live together and decided to part ways. It is further submitted that both petitioners had developed an emotional bond and started living together. Respondent Nos.4 to 8 were unhappy with the relationship and



were extending threats to eliminate the petitioners. Petitioners moved representation to respondents No.2 and 3 for protection of their life and liberty but to no avail.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.

4. Mr. Akash Yadav, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

5. Heard.

6. Life and liberty of petitioners has to be protected irrespective of the fact that their relationship does not have approval of society.

7. Without commenting on the validity of relationship of the petitioners, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the official respondents to decide representation dated 29.06.2026 (Annexure P-3) within a period of one month. In case, it is found that there is a genuine threat to the lives and liberty of the petitioners, necessary steps as per law be taken at the earliest, so as to ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners.

8. However, this order shall neither validate the live-in-status between the petitioners nor shall have any bearing on any civil or criminal action, initiated or to be initiated against them.

9. Disposed of accordingly.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

30.06.2026
Sumit Singla

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No