



238

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

\*\*\*\*

CWP-19392-2024 (O&amp;M)

Date of Decision: 27.01.2026

Umar Mohd.

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

...Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present:- Mr. Samrat Malik, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Teevar Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)**

1. On 12.08.2024, the following order was passed:

*“Contends, inter alia, that except one entry reflected in the Annual Confidential Report (ACR) of the petitioner, vide Memo dated 26.09.2023 (P-6), as ‘Average’, rest of his service records is up to the mark; thus, there was no occasion for the respondent(s) to prematurely retire the petitioner at the age of 56 years.*

*Notice of motion.*

*Notice regarding interim relief as well.*

*On asking of the Court, Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, learned AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and seeks time to have instructions and/or file written response in the matter.*

*Posted for 14.11.2024.*

*Till the next date of hearing, status quo regarding the service of petitioner be maintained.”*

2. Learned State counsel submits that petitioner has passed away, thus, instant petition has rendered infructuous.

3.            Learned counsel representing the petitioner does not dispute the aforesaid fact.
4.            In the wake of statement of both sides, the petition stands *disposed of*.
5.            Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)

JUDGE

27.01.2026

Prince Chawla

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether Speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable        | Yes/No |